December 10, 2016



yan karklin principal data scientist

multilayer IRT big data + little neural nets

big data in education



KNEWTON

a subset of Knewton data



KNEWTON

bigger data, more complex models

- 1. richer *representation of ability*: learning many dimensions of student ability
- 2. more powerful *models of assessment*: interactions between abilities
- 3. scalable, distributed implementation

(initial results, work in progress)



representation of student abilities



2 parameter Bayesian item response theory (IRT)

- scalar student proficiency
- scalar item discrimination
- scalar item difficulty

$$Pr(r_{s,q}=1) = \sigma \left(\alpha_q \theta_s - \beta_q \right)$$





2 parameter Bayesian item response theory (IRT)

- scalar student proficiency
- scalar item discrimination
- scalar item difficulty

$$Pr(r_{s,q}=1) = \sigma \left(\alpha_q \theta_s - \beta_q\right)$$

Multivariate IRT [Rasch 1960, Reckase 1972, Lan et al 2014]

- vector of student proficiency
- learned item-skill association vectors
- scalar item difficulty

$$Pr(r_{s,q}=1) = \sigma\left(\sum_{d}^{D} \alpha_{q,d}\theta_{s,d} - \beta_q\right)$$







prediction accuracy

- split responses randomly into train (80%) and test (20%)
- evaluate predictions of P(correct) on test set (AUC)





prediction accuracy

- split responses randomly into train (80%) and test (20%)
- evaluate predictions of P(correct) on test set (AUC)







big data: more gain from complex models





big data: more gain from complex models





big data: more gain from complex models





prediction accuracy gains



KNEWTON

learned item-concept mappings vs labels



cosine similarity between pairs of 19-dim item-concept mappings ($D(\vec{\alpha}_i, \vec{\alpha}_j)$)



assessment models



assessment (measurement) models

• compensatory

$$\left(\sum_{d}^{D} \alpha_{q,d} \theta_{s,d} - \beta_q\right)$$

- non-compensatory
- our approach: learn inter-skill interactions using general functions

 σ



 θ_1



deep item response functions

- flexible model for non-compensatory relationships
- utilize neural net optimization machinery

$$Pr(r_{s,q} = 1) = \sigma\left(\sum_{d}^{D} \alpha_{q,d}\theta_{s,d} - \beta_q\right)$$

extend the linear-nonlinear architecture in multivariate IRT by making it deep



deep item response functions

- flexible model for non-compensatory relationships
- utilize neural net optimization machinery
- "deep" and probabilistic

$$h_{i}^{(0)} = \theta_{is}$$

$$h_{i}^{(l)} = f\left(\sum_{j} w_{qij}^{(l)} h_{j}^{(l-1)} + \beta_{qi}^{(l)}\right)$$

$$Pr(r_{s,q} = 1) = h^{(L)} = \sigma\left(\sum_{j} w_{qj}^{L} h_{j}^{(L-1)} + \beta_{q}^{(L)}\right)$$





item response surfaces





learned item response surfaces





categorizing response surfaces



KNEWTON

skill-interaction statistics





prediction accuracy





next steps

multivariate IRT

- compare performance to other models (hierarchical items, temporal profs.)
- sparse priors on item-concept mappings yield more interpretable params?
- combine expert and machine-learned concept mappings

multilayer IRT

- deal with convergence issues
- larger neural net item response models
- correlate with item types, domains



Thank You

yan karklin

principal data scientist

Knewton, Inc.

yan@knewton.com

Twitter: @knewton

