# On Crowdlearning: How do People Learn in the Wild?

#### Utkarsh Upadhyay

Joint work with Isabel Valera and Manuel Gomez Rodriguez



Learning is becoming a crowd phenomenon.

Learning is becoming a crowd phenomenon.

### Social learning

- Stack Overflow
- Quora
- Yahoo! Answers
- r/AskReddit, r/AskScience



Learning is becoming a crowd phenomenon.

### Social learning

- Stack Overflow
- Quora
- Yahoo! Answers
- r/AskReddit, r/AskScience

### Crowdlearning

When a crowd *learns* from knowledge *curated* and *contributed* by the crowd.

Learning is becoming a crowd phenomenon.

### Social learning

- Stack Overflow
- Quora
- Yahoo! Answers
- r/AskReddit, r/AskScience

### Crowdlearning

When a crowd *learns* from knowledge *curated* and *contributed* by the crowd.

# Why should we care?

### Crowdlearning

When a crowd *learns* from knowledge *curated* and *contributed* by the crowd.

- Understudied but useful and growing in importance
- Just-in-time learning instead of just-in-case learning
- Large amounts of data
- Learning is complex: insights may be transferable
  - Does it work?
  - How much knowledge is there?
  - Do known results hold?
  - Is it efficient/sustainable?
  - ► ...

A quick review of the process ...

# Why should we care?

### Crowdlearning

When a crowd *learns* from knowledge *curated* and *contributed* by the crowd.

- Understudied but useful and growing in importance
- Just-in-time learning instead of just-in-case learning
- Large amounts of data
- Learning is complex: insights may be transferable
  - Does it work?
  - How much knowledge is there?
  - Do known results hold?
  - Is it efficient/sustainable?
  - ▶ ...

A quick review of the process ...

# Why should we care?

### Crowdlearning

When a crowd *learns* from knowledge *curated* and *contributed* by the crowd.

- Understudied but useful and growing in importance
- Just-in-time learning instead of just-in-case learning
- Large amounts of data
- Learning is complex: insights may be transferable
  - Does it work?
  - How much knowledge is there?
  - Do known results hold?
  - Is it efficient/sustainable?
  - ▶ ...

A quick review of the process . . .

# Crowdlearning example: content

### Knowledge Item

Smallest quantum of knowledge.

## Crowdlearning example: content

### Knowledge Item

Smallest quantum of knowledge.

How to check whether a file exists using Python?

	How do I check whether a file exists, using Python, without using a $\try-catch$ statement?										
2620	python	file	filesystems								
★ 470	share ed	it clos	e flag unpro	otect	edited	Apr 14 at 10:32 Termininja 2,617 • 10 • 16 • 30		asked Sep 17 '08 at 12:55 spence91 14.7k • 7 • 20 • 19			
46 Ansv	swers										
	You can also use os.path.isfile										
2233	Return $\tau_{\text{Fue}}$ if path is an existing regular file. This follows symbolic links, so both $islink()$ and $isfile()$ can be true for the same path.										
	<pre>import o os.path.</pre>	os.pat isfil	:h le(fname)								
	if you need to be sure it's a file.										
	share edit flag						a	answered Sep 17 '08 at 12:57 <b>rslite</b> <b>34.6k</b> • 4 • 33 • 44			

Figure: An example knowledge item from Stack Overflow

#### Contributions

Users can *contribute* to knowledge items.

#### Contributions

Users can contribute to knowledge items.



Figure: An answer contributed by a user.

#### Learning events

Users can *learn* from knowledge items.

#### Learning events

Users can *learn* from knowledge items.

-	Its check whether a file exists using Python?
÷	The R. Control of the sets, any "place affect angle to out interval"
i	A
223	
	New York
	Figure result in the same file of the

Figure: A user learns from the knowledge item.

## Crowdlearning example: process



You can put the shared code in separate files and then import the file as a module in each script which needs it. To see how the module system in Python works, see the modules documentation for Python 2.7 or the documentation on modules for Python 3.4, depending on which version of Python you are writing code in.

share edit delete flag



Figure: The same user later contributes knowledge on related topics

#### Assessment

The crowd *assess* the contribution made by other users.



#### Figure: Assessment of the contribution

Then the user reads more knowledge items, contributes, reads more, contributes, ... all while increasing his expertise.

#### Assessment

The crowd *assess* the contribution made by other users.



Figure: Assessment of the contribution

Then the user reads more knowledge items, contributes, reads more, contributes, ... all while increasing his expertise.

# Key questions

### Key Questions

- How does user expertise evolve?
- What is the true value of knowledge items?

# Outline

### Modeling Crowdlearning

#### Synthetic experiments

#### Results on real data

Distribution of knowledge Types of learners Who learns where Who learns the most Who teaches better

#### Conclusion

Limitations Future work

### Learning events

An event which indicates reading of the knowledge items which *may* increase the expertise of a user.

$$I := \begin{pmatrix} u, & t, & \stackrel{\downarrow}{q} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \uparrow \\ user time \end{pmatrix}$$

e.g., upvoting an answer in a knowledge item.

#### Contributing events

Contributions to a knowledge item which others can assess.

$$c := \begin{pmatrix} u, & t, & \downarrow \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ user time & score \end{pmatrix}$$

e.g., an answer and the upvotes it gets in the first week.





Expertise of user u in topic a at time t.





Forgetting is modelled as an exponential.





- Expertise is *latent*.
- We can only observe assessments by others.





### Parameter estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{k}) &= \sum_{\substack{(\boldsymbol{u},t,\boldsymbol{q},s)\\\in\mathcal{H}^{c}(T)}} s \cdot \log\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{w}_{q}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{e}_{u}^{*}(t)}{\boldsymbol{w}_{q}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{1}}\right) - \frac{\boldsymbol{w}_{q}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{e}_{u}^{*}(t)}{\boldsymbol{w}_{q}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{1}}\\ &\underset{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\geq 0,\boldsymbol{\mu}\geq 0,\boldsymbol{k}\geq 0}{\text{maximize}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{k}) \end{aligned}$$

- Is jointly convex in  $\alpha$ ,  $\mu$ , and k.
- Can be minimized using any convex optimization algorithm (we use L-BFGS-B).

# Outline

#### Modeling Crowdlearning

### Synthetic experiments

#### Results on real data

Distribution of knowledge Types of learners Who learns where Who learns the most Who teaches better

#### Conclusion

Limitations Future work

# Why synthetic experiments?

### How many users/events will we need?

Synthetic experiments help us determine the subset of real data we can get accurate estimates for.

### Two examples

- How many learning events do we need per knowledge item?
- How many contributions we need per user?

# Why synthetic experiments?

### How many users/events will we need?

Synthetic experiments help us determine the subset of real data we can get accurate estimates for.

### Two examples

- How many learning events do we need per knowledge item?
- How many contributions we need per user?

Reliably estimate knowledge values



Figure: Need  ${\geq}10$  learning events for a good estimation of knowledge values

### Reliably estimate off-site learning rate



Figure: Need  $\geq$ 20 contributions by each user for good estimation of  $\mu$ 

# Outline

Modeling Crowdlearning

Synthetic experiments

#### Results on real data

Distribution of knowledge Types of learners Who learns where Who learns the most Who teaches better

#### Conclusion

Limitations Future work

## Data description

### Mapping on Stack Overflow

Knowledge item	Question $+$ all its answers
Learning event	Upvotes on answers
Contribution	An answer
Score	Upvotes received in $1^{st}$ week

We select top 10 tags (java, c#, javascript, php, android, jquery, python, html, c++, mysql) After preprocessing, we have  $\sim 25k$  users (with  $\geq 20$  contributions)

- ▶ who learn from  $\sim$ **66k knowledge items** (with ≥ 10 learning events) by means of **1.4m learning events**
- who contribute to 2.5m knowledge items by means of 3.8m contributions.

# Distribution of knowledge



Figure: Distribution of knowledge values is log-normal

10% of knowledge items account for 75% of knowledge.

# Types of learners



Avg. learner (Avg. knowledge / contribution: 0.005)



Expert: (Avg. knowledge / contribution: 0.034)



On-site learner (on-site learning: 55%)

Off-site learner (on-site learning: 0.4%)

Figure: Estimated learning trajectory for four characteristic Stack Overflow users

## Where does learning happen



Figure: Users' on-site and off-site learning for c#

For  $x \le 2000$ , users who achieve higher on-site learning also achieve higher off-site learning. Over x > 2000, off-site learning becomes more dominant.

### Who learns the most



- Newbies and experts increase their knowledge the least.
- Users in the middle of the range tend to increase it the most.<sup>1</sup>

### Who teaches better



Figure: Avg. knowledge per contribution vs. learned knowledge The users that learn more knowledge are also more proficient at producing high knowledge contributions.

> By learning your will teach, by teaching you will learn. – Latin proverb.

### Who teaches better



Figure: Avg. knowledge per contribution vs. learned knowledge

The users that learn more knowledge are also more proficient at producing high knowledge contributions.

By learning your will teach, by teaching you will learn. – Latin proverb.

# Outline

Modeling Crowdlearning

Synthetic experiments

#### Results on real data

Distribution of knowledge Types of learners Who learns where Who learns the most Who teaches better

#### Conclusion

Limitations Future work

### Limitations

### Number of parameters

#### Large number of parameters require large amount of data.

### Mapping learning events and assessments

It may be difficult to map site-events to learning events and to assessments of expertise.

- In Wikipedia, it may be difficult to find learning events.
- In Reddit, it may be difficult to determine topics for expertise tracking.

### Limitations

### Number of parameters

Large number of parameters require large amount of data.

### Mapping learning events and assessments

It may be difficult to map site-events to learning events and to assessments of expertise.

- In Wikipedia, it may be difficult to find learning events.
- In Reddit, it may be difficult to determine topics for expertise tracking.

# Conclusion

### A model of crowdlearning

An expressive model which can:

- capture evolution of expertise,
- uncover true value of knowledge items, and
- scale up to web-sized datasets.

### Future work

- Modeling overlaps in knowledge items
- Other crowdlearning networks (e.g., citation graph)
- Merging data from other sources, e.g., MOOCs

#### Questions?

Utkarsh Upadhyay utkarshu@mpi-sws.org

# Conclusion

### A model of crowdlearning

An expressive model which can:

- capture evolution of expertise,
- uncover true value of knowledge items, and
- scale up to web-sized datasets.

### Future work

- Modeling overlaps in knowledge items
- Other crowdlearning networks (e.g., citation graph)
- Merging data from other sources, e.g., MOOCs

Questions?

Utkarsh Upadhyay utkarshu@mpi-sws.org

# **Overall learning**

Given user u, we define the following.

On-site learning

The total expertise gathered by reading the knowledge items:

$$\sum_{a\in\mathcal{A}}\sum_{q\in\mathcal{H}_{u}^{l}(T)}\int k_{qa}\kappa_{\omega}(t)\,dt$$

### Off-site learning

The expertise gathered outside Stack Overflow:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{A}}\int\mu_{u\mathbf{a}}t\,dt$$

#### **Overall learning**

Sum of on-site and off-site learning.

# Changing forgetting rate

### Half-life of knowledge

Time it takes to forget 50% of the knowledge from an item.



Figure: Fewer knowledge items have knowledge which lasts for longer periods of time

# Scalability



## Evaluation

Score difference	# of pairs	Off-site only	Our model
$\geq 1.0$	31,639	52.5%	61.9%
$\geq 2.0$	19,253	52.9%	64.8%
$\geq$ 3.0	10,804	53.2%	67.0%
$\geq$ 4.0	5,910	53.7%	70.7%
$\geq$ 5.0	3,250	55.0%	71.6%
$\geq 6.0$	1,935	56.0%	73.3%
$\geq$ 7.0	1,159	56.8%	73.8%

Table: Effect of ignoring the knowledge variables on the accuracy of our model in predicting relative quality of competing answers. As the difference between the scores obtained by the answers increases, it should become easier to correctly identify the differences. This effect is more pronounced in our model than in model which only models off-site learning.

## **Evaluation**



Minimum difference in scores

Figure: The performance of our model against the model which only models off-site learning for users.